#### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

#### OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN County Counsel

June 10, 2011

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1801 FACSIMILE (213) 626-7446 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY

**REVIEW COMMITTEE** 

FROM:

NANCY M. TAKADE

Principal County Counsel

RE:

June 15, 2011 BRC Meeting - Agenda Item No. 6:

Consideration of Plan F1 - Even Plan

To assist the Committee in its review of proposed redistricting plans, the following is a summary of the proposed Plan F1 – Even Plan submitted by Christopher McClelland.

- 1. Plan Summary: This plan proposes to reassign 81 redistricting units (RDUs) which make up 14 whole or partial communities. The moves of these areas are summarized on page 5, and are further detailed on pages 10-12 of the *Report on Proposed Redistricting Plan F1*. The relevant summary page (Page 5) is included here as Attachment 1.
- **2. Population Deviation:** The total population deviation in the proposed plan is 0.15%.
- 3. Number of People Moved: This plan reassigns 210,828 people among the five districts. The total population moved to and from each district, is summarized on page 9 of the *Report on Proposed Redistricting Plan F1*: The relevant page is included here as Attachment 2.
- 4. **Deferred/Advanced Voting:** County supervisors are elected to staggered four-year terms. The First and Third Districts elect supervisors at each general election at which the Governor is to be elected (i.e. 2010, 2014, 2018). The Second, Fourth and Fifth Districts elect supervisors at each election which the President appears on the ballot (i.e. 2008, 2012, 2016, etc.). Countywide, 2.1% of constituents in the County will be affected by deferral or advancement under this proposed plan. A table containing deferral and

advancement information is included here as Attachment 3 and is summarized below.

#### Advancement

Voters who will be reassigned from the First and Third Districts into the Second, Fourth or Fifth Districts, will have an opportunity to vote for a County supervisor twice in four (4) years (2010, 2012), thus advancing their right to vote for a supervisor.

In the Benchmark First District, 63,923 constituents will be reassigned to either the Second, Fourth or Fifth Districts. As a result of this proposed plan, 3.4% of all constituents, 3.9% of the citizen voting age population (CVAP), and 3.5% of registered voters in the Benchmark First District are advanced.

In the Benchmark Third District, 8,564 constituents will be reassigned to either the Second, Fourth or Fifth Districts. As a result of this proposed plan, 0.4% of all constituents, 0.6% of the CVAP, and 0.6% of registered voters in the Benchmark Third District are advanced.

#### Deferment

Voters who will be reassigned from the Second, Fourth and Fifth Districts into the First and Third Districts, will not have the opportunity to vote for a County supervisor until 2014. For those reassigned voters, six (6) years will have passed since they last had the opportunity to vote for a supervisor.

In the Benchmark Second District and Benchmark Fourth District, no constituents will be reassigned to either the First or Third Districts.

In the Benchmark Fifth District, 134,651 constituents will be reassigned to either the First or Third Districts. As a result of this proposed plan, 6.4% of all constituents, 6.1% of the CVAP, and 6.0% of registered voters in the Benchmark Fifth District are deferred.

- 5. Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP): The CVAP information per district is summarized on page 26 of the *Report on Proposed Redistricting Plan* FI. The relevant page is included here as Attachment 4.
- 6. **Incumbency:** This plan does not displace any supervisor from his/her district.
- 7. Contiguity/Compactness: All districts except the First District, are contiguous. RDU 2070, which has zero (0) population and is

assigned to the First District, is contained wholly within the Fourth District. All five supervisorial districts are reasonably compact.

8. City/Community Splits: The following cities/communities that are newly split as a result of this plan are:

City/Community	District Splits
Arcadia	1 / 5
Azusa*	1/5
Covina	1 / 5
Santa Fe Springs	1 / 4
West Covina*	1/5
Venice*	2/3/4
LA City – Westlake*	1/2
LA County – Azusa	1/5
LA County – Covina	1 / 5
LA County – West Carson	2/4

<sup>\*</sup> Previously split, but areas have now changed districts or were split into a third district.

### 9. City/Community Unified: The following cities/communities that are now unified in one district as a result of this plan are:

City/Community	Joined in Which District
LA City – South Park	2
LA City – West Adams /	2
<b>Exposition Park</b>	
LA County – Covina Islands	1
LA County – South Whittier	4

10. Major Facilities Moved: This plan moves major facilities out of their current districts. The facilities that are moved are:

<u>Facilities</u>	Current District	New District
H Claude Hudson Comprehensive Health Center	1	2
West Covina Civic Center	5	1
Metropolitan Courthouse	1	2
West Covina Courthouse	5	1
Bob Hope Patriotic Hall	1	2
Lario Staging Area	1	5

Dalton Park	1	5
Mayberry Park	1	4
West Covina Regional Library	5	1

If you have questions concerning this matter, please contact me at (213) 974-1891.

#### NMT

c: William T Fujioka Chief Executive Officer

> Sachi A. Hamai, Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

### Communities & Total Population Reassigned (Moved) by This Plan

This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2010 Census as shown below.

Ref#	DENCH	PRO- POSED	TOTAL POPULATION MOVED	M O V E D	R E S U L T	MOVED COMMUNITY	KOT	DIST BENCH- MARK	PRO- POSED	TOTAL POPULATION MOVED	M O V E D	R E S U L T	MOVED COMMUNITY
1	5	1	16	Р	S	Arcadia	8	1	2	4,070	P	w	LACity - West Adams/Exposition Park
2	1	5	5,727			Azusa	9	1	2	10,365			LACity - Westlake
3	5	1	24,436	P		Covina	10	1	5		P		LACounty - Azusa
4	1	4	15,098	Р	s	Santa Fe Springs	11	5	1	6,467	Р		LACounty - Covina
5	5	1	99,357	Р		West Covina	12	5	1	4,375			LACounty - Covina Islands
6	1	2	6,214	Р	W	LACity - South Park	13	1	4	17,525	P	W	LACounty - South Whittier
7	3	4	8,564	Р	S	LACity - Venice	14	2	4	3,690	P	S	LACounty - West Carson
210,828 Total Population Reassigned													

#### NOTES:

- 1. The data source for this table is the Census Bureau's 2010 Census: Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data.
- 2. The column labeled "MOVED" indicates whether all "A" or only a portion "P" of the named community would be "MOVED" by this plan.
- 3. The column labeled "RESULT" indicates whether the move would result in having the named community be split "S" among or between multiple districts.
- 4. Or, the column labeled "RESULT" indicates whether the move would result in having the named community remain or become whole "W" within a single district.
- 5. The TOTAL POPULATION MOVED column depicts the number of persons who live in the reassigned area.

### Total Population Reassigned (Moved) by This Plan

This redistricting plan reassigns the County's population per 2010 Census as shown below.

	Total Population							
1.		1	2	3	4	5	Moved	
Population	1		-	-	_	134,651	134,651	
	2	20,649		-	-	_	20,649	
Moved "To" District	3	-	-		-		-	
District	4	32,623	3,690	8,564		**	44,877	
	5	10,651	_	_	-		10,651	
Total Population Moved		63,923	3,690	8,564	-	134,651	210,828	

#### **NOTES:**

- 1. The data source for this table is the Census Bureau's 2010 Census: Public Law 94-171 Redistricting Data.
- 2. Each row depicts the number of persons who live in areas that would be assigned to the row's district.
- 3. Each column depicts the number of persons who live in areas that would be assigned to the column's district.
- 4. The shaded cells are not applicable: same "from" and "to" districts.
- 5. The bottom row depicts the total population moved FROM each district, and the countywide total moved.
- 6. The right-most column depicts the total population moved TO each district, and the countywide total moved.

#### Deferral/Advancement Voter Report Plan F1: Even Plan

<b>Advanced Election</b>							
Benchmark District		Total Pop.	%Total Pop.	Total CVAP	%Total CVAP	Total Reg. Voters	%Total Reg. Voters
District 1		63,923	3.4%	34,227	3.9%	24,224	3.5%
District 3		8,564	0.4%	7,118	0.6%	6,131	0.6%
	Total (Countywide):	72,487	0.7%	41,345	0.7%	30,355	0.7%
Deferred Election						7	
Benchmark District		Total Pop.	%Total Pop.	Total CVAP	%Total CVAP	Total Reg. Voters	%Total Reg. Voters
District 2		_	0.0%	<b>-</b>	0.0%	-	0.0%
District 4		-	0.0%	-	0.0%	_	0.0%
District 5		134,651	6.4%	82,427	6.1%	63,328	6.0%
	Total (Countywide):	134,651	1.4%	82,427	1.5%	63,328	1.4%

Affected Constituents						
	Total Pop.	%Total Pop.	Total CVAP	%Total CVAP	Total Reg. Voters	%Total Reg. Voters
Los Angeles County	207,138	2.1%	123,772	2.2%	93,683	2.1%

Note: The "%Total..." columns are calculated by taking the affected total population, total citizen voting age population and total registered voter numbers from the proposed plan, and dividing by the respective district or countywide benchmark numbers listed in the appropriate tables in the Benchmark Plan Report.

# Voting Age Citizen by DOJ Race/Ethnicity Categories from American Community Survey

This redistricting plan distributes the County's Voting Age Citizen Population per ACS data shown below.

			Voting Age Citizen by Race/Ethnicity - Department of Justice (DOJ) Categories															l
	Voting Age Citizen per 2010 Census	Hispani (All Races		Not Hispanic (NH) White (Single Race)		NH African American or NH African American/ White		NH Asian or NH Asian/ White		NH American Indian or NH American Indian/ White		NH Pacific Islander or NH		NH Some Other Race or NH Some Other Race/ White		Multiple-Races		
DISTRICT	COUNT	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	COUNT	%	%
1	931,666	579,657	62.2	133,781	14.4	33,874	3.6	174,929	18.8	3,313	0.4	1,354	0.1	1,373	0.1	3,385	0.4	100
2	954,984	322,422	33.8	166,397	17.4	346,586	36.3	99,518	10.4	3,095	0.3	4,776	0.5	2,850	0.3	9,340	1.0	100
3	1,189,033	283,995	23.9	705,823	59.4	59,319	5.0	123,009	10.3	4,914	0.4	2,548	0.2	3,193	0.3	6,232	0.5	100
4	1,206,696	386,481	32.0	502,838	41.7	92,882	7.7	200,794	16.6	6,367	0.5	7,087	0.6	2,765	0.2	7,482	0.6	100
5	1,269,253	294,078	23.2	663,264	52.3	87,399	6.9	205,045	16.2	6,838	0.5	2,492	0.2	3,190	0.3	6,947	0.5	100
TOTALS	5,551,632	1,866,633	33.6	2,172,103	39.1	620,060	11.2	803,295	14.5	24,527	0.4	18,257	0.3	13,371	0.2	33,386	0.6	

#### NOTES:

- 1. The data source for the percentages of the voting age citizen population by Race/Ethnicity in this table is the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is an ongoing statistical survey by the U.S. Census Bureau, sent to approximately 250,000 addresses monthly (or 3 million per year). ACS estimates are based on data collected from January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2009, and are not 2010 Census data. The percentages of the voting age citizen population by Race/Ethnicity from the ACS were applied to the 2010 Census counts of the voting age population at the census block level to obtain estimates of the voting age citizen population by Race/Ethnicity as of April 1, 2010.
- 2. The Voting Age Citizen column depicts each citizen, age 18 and older, being counted only once even multi-race persons.
- 3. The Department of Justice defined a set of 8 exclusive race/ethnicity population categories; persons are counted once in their applicable DOJ category.
- 4. The COUNT columns contain voting age citizen population totals for each district, and for DOJ categories within each district.
- 5. The % columns contain voting age citizen population percentages for each DOJ category within each district. TIP: Read these percentages across.
- 6. American Indian population includes Alaska Native; Pacific Islander population includes Native Hawaiian.